Pd(0)–Cu(I)-catalyzed cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes with triarylantimony(V) diacetates

Suk-Ku Kang,* Hyung-Chul Ryu and Young-Taek Hong

Department of Chemistry, Institute for Basic Sciences, Sungkyunkwan University, Natural Science Campus, Suwon 440-746, Korea

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 26th September 2000, Accepted 9th February 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 14th March 2001 PERKIN

Treatment of alkynylsilanes with triarylantimony diacetates in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ ·CHCl₃ (5 mol%) and CuI (10 mol%) in CH₃CN at 50 °C for 5 h afforded aryl-substituted alkynes in good yield. Alternatively, direct carbonylative coupling of triarylantimony diacetates with alkynylsilanes was accomplished under atmospheric pressure of carbon monoxide.

The palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of terminal alkynes with aryl or vinyl halides in the presence of a Pd(0)-Cu(I) co-catalyst system, known as Sonogashira and Hagihara coupling,¹ has been widely utilized as a practical synthetic method for carbon-carbon bond formation in organic synthesis. In synthesis, for the introduction of appropriate functionalities, a terminal alkyne moiety is often protected with a trimethylsilyl group. Thus the direct coupling of alkynylsilanes should be more accessible. There have only been a few reports of such direct coupling reactions of alkynylsilanes with aryl halides; in the presence of fluoride ion by Hiyama et al.² or under basic conditions by Rossi³ and Huang⁴ and their co-workers. Recently Hiyama et al.⁵ reported a copper(1)-salt mediated direct Pd(0)-cross coupling reaction of alkynylsilanes with triflates in the presence of CuCl in DMF. Nagasaka et al.6 also reported the direct silver-promoted cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes with aryl iodides to form aryl-substituted alkynes. Alternatively, in order to synthesize alkynyl ketones CuClcatalyzed reaction of alkynylsilanes and acyl halides by one-pot desilylation-coupling was reported by Hosomi et al.⁷. We have investigated the use of triarylantimony(v) diacetates⁸ in palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions; here we wish to report the direct palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes with triarylantimony diacetates to afford the aryl-substituted alkynes and alkynyl ketones (Scheme 1).9

$$R = aryl, acetyl Ar = Ph, p-Tolyl$$

$$R = aryl, alkyl Ar = Ph, p-Tolyl$$

$$Pd_{2}(dba)_{3} CHCl_{3} (5 mol \%) CH(10 mol \%) CH_{3}CN, 50 °C, 5 h$$

$$R = aryl, alkyl Ar = Ph, p-Tolyl$$

$$Pd_{2}(dba)_{3} CHCl_{3} (5 mol \%) CH(13 (5 mol \%)) CH(13 (5 m$$

Scheme 1

Triarylantimony(v) diacetates $2a^{10}$ and $2b^{11}$ were prepared by reaction of triarylantimony(III) with PhI(OAc)₂ by stirring in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for 7 h (Scheme 2).

$$Ar_{3}Sb + PhI(OAc)_{2} \xrightarrow{CH_{2}Cl_{2}, rt, 7 h} Ar_{3}Sb(OAc)_{2}$$

$$2a Ar = Ph \qquad 73\%$$

$$2b Ar = p-Tolyl \qquad 77\%$$
Scheme 2

Results and discussion

$1 \quad Pd(0)-Cu(1)-catalyzed \ cross-coupling \ of \ alkynylsilanes \ with \ organoantimony(V) \ compounds$

The results of the palladium–copper catalyzed cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes with triarylantimony diacetates are summarized in Scheme 3 and Table 1.

	$Pd_2(dba)_3$ •CHCl ₃ (5 mol %)			
$R - TMS + Ar_3Sb(OAc)_2$	CuI (10 mol %)	R—	_	-Ar
R = COMe, Ar, TMS,	CH_3CN , 50 °C, 5h			
C ₆ H ₄ ———————————————————————————————————	engen, ee e, en			
Ar = Ph, p-Tol				

Scheme 3

The (phenylethynyl)trimethylsilane (1a) reacted with triphenylantimony diacetate (2a) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (5 mol%) and CuI (10 mol%) in CH₃CN at 50 °C for 5 h to afford 1,2-diphenylacetylene (3a) in 81% yield (entry 1 in Table 1). No homocoupling reaction of alkynylsilanes¹² was observed using Ar₃Sb(OAc)₂. The addition of CuI is critical and improved the yield. Of the catalysts (Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃, PdCl₂, $(\pi-\text{allyl})_2\text{Pd}_2\text{Cl}_2$, Pd₂(dba)₃, PdCl₂(PhCN)₂) tested, Pd₂(dba)₃. CHCl₃ was the best choice. Among the solvents (DMF, CH₃CN, toluene and DME) tested, CH₃CN was the most suitable at 50 °C. Under the same conditions the reaction of 1a with tri-p-tolylantimony diacetate (2b) gave 1-phenyl-2-p-tolylacetylene (3b^{13a}) in 80% yield (entry 2). For the aryl-substituted silanes 1b and 1c, triphenylantimony diacetate (2a) was successfully coupled to give $3c^{12c}$ and $3d^{13b}$ under the same conditions in 73 and 70% yields, respectively (entries 3 and 4). The acetylsubstituted ethynylsilane 1d was treated with 2a and 2b to provide 3e and 3f in 85 and 82% yields, respectively (entries 5 and 6). When the 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyl)ethyne (1e) was reacted with 2a and 2b, the disubstituted acetylene 3a and $3g^{13c}$ were afforded in 80 and 77% yields, respectively (entries 7 and 8). Finally for the 1,4-bis(trimethylsilanylethynyl)benzene (1f), disubstituted product 3h^{13d} was obtained using Pd₂(dba)₃. CHCl₃ as a catalyst (entry 9). However, when PdCl₂ was employed as a catalyst, the mono-substituted product 3i^{13e} was afforded in 42% yield (entry 10).

2 Pd(0)–Cu(1)-catalyzed carbonylative cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes with organoantimony(V) compounds

This coupling was extended to carbonylative cross-coupling of silanes with antimony(v) compounds. The results are summarized in Scheme 4 and Table 2.

DOI: 10.1039/b007800j

Table 1	Pd(0)–Cu(I)-catalyzed	l cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes	with triarvlantimony diacetates

Entry	Silanes	Triarylantimony diacetates	Product	Yieid (%)
1	Ph— — —TMS 1a	$Ph_3Sb(OAc)_2$ 2a	Ph———Ph 3a	81
2	1a	$(p-\text{Tol})_3\text{Sb}(\text{OAc})_2$ 2b	Ph	80
3	MeO-	2a	MeO-	73
4		2a	NC-	70
5	H ₃ C — TMS	2a	H ₃ C — Ph	85
6	1d 1d	2b	3e H ₃ C	82
7	TMS————————————————————————————————————	2a	3f Ph- <u>-</u> Ph 3a	77
8	le	2b	-	80
9	TMS — TMS	2a	yg Ph────√────Ph 3h	75
10	lf	2a	TMS Ph $3i$	42

$R TMS + Ar_3Sb(OAc)_2$ $R = Ph, Bu^{\dagger}, TMS,$ $p-NCC_6H_4, p-MeOC_6H_4,$ $C_6H_4 TMS$	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ •CHCl ₃ (5 mol %) Cul (10 mol %), CO (1atm) TMS	O Ar
Ar = Ph, p-Tol		

Scheme 4

(3.3-Dimethylbut-1-ynyl)trimethylsilane (1g) was treated with triphenylantimony diacetate (2a) in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (5 mol%) and CuI (10 mol%) under atmospheric pressure of carbon monoxide in CH₃CN to give ynone 4e^{13f} in 80% yield (entry 6 in Table 2). Under the same conditions phenylethynylsilane (1a) reacted with 2a to afford benzoyl substituted alkynone 4a^{13g} in 62% yield (entry 1). For the substituted arylethynylsilanes 1b and 1c, the reactions with triphenylantimony diacetate (2a) provided the carbonylated coupled products **4b**^{13h} and **4c** in 65 and 68% yields, respectively (entries 2 and 3). The bis-silyl substituted 1e and 1f were readily coupled with 2a to afford the mono carbonylated silanes 4a and 4d in 66 and 58% yields, respectively (entries 4 and 5). This method was also applied to p-tolyl substituted antimony diacetate 2b. The alkynylsilanes 1g and 1b were subjected to carbonylative cross-coupling to afford ynones 4f and 4g in 77 and 81% yields, respectively (entries 7 and 8). To the best of our knowledge this is the first direct Pd(0)-catalyzed carbonylative cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes without deprotection of a silyl group.

In considering the plausible mechanism for carbonylative cross-coupling, the palladium complex **A** is generated by oxidative addition of the Ar–Sb bond of triarylantimony(v) diacetate onto Pd(0) followed by carbonylation. Alternatively, the alkynyl group is transferred from alkynylsilane to copper to form alkynylcopper **B**. The alkynyl group in **B** migrates from copper to palladium to furnish intermediate **C** which is subjected to reductive elimination to give the direct coupled product, regenerating Pd(0) and Cu(I) as a catalyst (Scheme 5).¹⁴

In conclusion triarylantimony(v) diacetates were prepared conveniently and cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of triarylantimony(v) derivatives with alkynylsilanes were achieved in the presence of Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (5 mol%) and CuI (10 mol%), at 50 °C at atmospheric pressure of carbon monoxide for the carbonylative cross-coupling.

Experimental

Typical procedure for the preparation of triarylantimony(v) diacetate

A mixture of triarylantimony (2.0 mmol) and (diacetoxyiodo)benzene diacetate (2.2 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 7 h. The solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure to a small volume. A mixture of diethyl ether-pentane was added and the solution was kept overnight at -15 °C. The solid was filtered and recrystallized from a mixture of dichloromethane and pentane.

Table 2	Pd(0)–Cu(1)-catalyzed	carbonvlative cr	oss-coupling of	f alkvnvlsilanes	with triarylantimony diacetates

Entry	Silanes	Triarylantimony diacetates	Product	Yieid (%)
1	PhTMS 1a	Ph ₃ Sb(OAc) ₂ 2a	Ph = Ph	62
2	MeO-TMS	2a	MeO $ \qquad \qquad$	65
3		2a	NC $-\sqrt{2}$ $-\frac{0}{2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$	68
4	TMS-=-TMS 1e	2a	PhO_Ph	66
5	TMS — TMS	2a	4a ™S-={	58 h
6	Bu ^t ————————————————————————————————————	2a	$Bu^{t} = \underbrace{\overset{O}{=}}_{Ph}$	80
7	1g	(<i>p</i> -Tol) ₃ Sb(OAc) ₂ 2b		77
8	1b	2b		80
	Me ₃ SiX (X R————————————————————————————————————	= I or OAc)	$Ar_{3}Sb(C)$ $Ar_{2}(OAc)_{2}$ A $Pd(0)$ R C	

Triphenylantimony(v) diacetate (**2a**): mp 210–212 °C (lit.¹⁵ 208–209 °C); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.83 (s, 6H), 7.48 (m, 9H), 7.99 (m, 6H).

Tri(*p*-tolyl)antimony(v) diacetate (**2b**): mp 157–159 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.82 (s, 6H), 2.39 (s, 9H), 7.27 (d, 6H, J = 8 Hz), 7.86 (d, 6H, J = 8 Hz).

Typical procedure for the cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes with organoantimony(v) compounds

Diphenylacetylene (3a). To a mixture of triphenylantimony diacetate (**2a**) (412 mg, 1.00 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3$ ·CHCl₃ (112 mg, 5 mol%) and CuI (19 mg, 10 mol%) was added (phenyleth-ynyl)trimethylsilane (**1a**) (169 mg, 1.00 mmol) under N₂ charged at 50 °C in CH₃CN (20 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 5 h, extracted with ether (20 mL × 3), and washed with water (20 mL × 3). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude product was separated by SiO₂ column chromatography (hexanes, $R_f = 0.48$) to afford the coupled product diphenylacetylene (**3a**) (144 mg, 81%); δ_H (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 7.26 (m, 2H), 7.36 (m, 4 H), 7.54 (m, 4 H); v_{max} (KBr)/ cm⁻¹ 3063, 1600, 1499, 1070, 755; *m*/z 178 (100), 176, 152, 89, 88, 76.

Compounds **3b–3i** were prepared following the above procedures using the appropriate starting material.

1-Phenylethynyl-4-methylbenzene (3b). Hexanes, $R_{\rm f} = 0.40$; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.37 (s, 3 H), 7.17 (d, 1 H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.34 (m, 3 H), 7.42 (m, 2 H), 7.53 (m, 2 H); $v_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3084, 2964, 1612, 1480, 1372, 695; *m*/*z* 192 (100), 191, 189, 165, 115.

1-Methoxy-4-(phenylethynyl)benzene (3c). EtOAc-hexanes 1 : 10, $R_f = 0.52$; δ_H (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 3.83 (s, 3 H), 6.89 (dd, 1 H, J = 4.2, 2.0 Hz), 7.33 (m, 3 H), 7.51 (m, 4 H); v_{max} (KBr)/ cm⁻¹ 3080, 2958, 1617, 1405; *m*/*z* 208, 207 (100), 193 (49), 165 (52).

4-Phenylethynylbenzonitrile (3d). EtOAc–hexanes 1 : 30, $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.33; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 7.37 (m, 3 H), 7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.62 (m, 4 H); *m/z* 204 (11), 103 (100), 156 (10), 88 (11).

4-Phenylbut-3-yn-2-one (3e). EtOAc–hexanes 1 : 10, $R_{\rm f} = 0.48$; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.45 (s, 3 H), 7.38 (m, 2 H), 7.45 (m, 1 H), 7.56 (m, 2 H); $v_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3054, 2865, 1680, 1456.

4-(4-Methylbenzene)but-3-yn-2-one (3f). EtOAc-hexanes 1 : 10, $R_{\rm f} = 0.47$; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.38 (s, 3 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H), 7.19 (d, 2 H, J = 9.9 Hz), 7.47 (dd, 2 H, J = 9.9, 2.1 Hz); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (125 MHz; CDCl₃) 200.9, 157.9, 150.5, 137.6, 137.2, 130.0, 128.7, 30.1, 21.9; $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3054, 2865, 1680,1456; *m/z* 158 (27), 143 (100), 115 (13), 89 (9); HRMS for C₁₁H₁₀O calcd: 158.0732, found: 158.0728.

Bis(4-methylphenyl)acetylene (3g). Hexanes, $R_f = 0.38$; δ_H (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.36 (s, 3 H), 7.24 (d, 2 H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.50 (d, 2 H, J = 8.0 Hz); v_{max} (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3080, 2964, 1609, 1480, 1377; *m*/*z* 207 (10), 106 (100), 189 (15), 102 (10), 101 (11), 89 (14).

1,4-Bis(phenylethynyl)benzene (3h). Hexanes, $R_{\rm f} = 0.27$; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 7.45 (m, 3 H), 7.58 (m, 4 H); *m/z* 278 (100), 139 (28), 126 (9).

Trimethyl[4-(phenylethynyl)phenylethynyl]silane (3i). Hexanes, $R_{\rm f} = 0.29$; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 0.12 (s, 9 H), 7.32 (m, 3 H), 7.49 (m, 4 H), 7.52 (m, 2 H); *m/z* 274 (13), 273 (55), 260 (22), 259 (100), 130 (17).

Typical procedure for the carbonylative cross-coupling of alkynylsilanes with organoantimony(V) compounds

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylprop-2-yn-1-one (4b). To a mixture of triphenylantimony diacetate (**2a**) (412 mg, 1.00 mmol), $Pd_2(dba)_3 \cdot CHCl_3$ (112 mg, 5 mol%) and CuI (19 mg, 10 mol%) was added (4-methoxyphenylethynyl)trimethylsilane (**1b**) (168 mg, 1.00 mmol) under atmospheric pressure of CO at 50 °C in CH₃CN (20 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 5 h, extracted with ether (20 mL × 3), and washed with water (20 mL × 3). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude product was separated by SiO₂ column chromatography (EtOAc–hexanes 1 : 10, $R_f = 0.19$) to afford the coupled product 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenylprop-2-yn-1-one (**4b**) (118 mg, 65%); δ_H (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 3.89 (s, 3 H), 6.98 (m, 2 H), 7.41 (m, 2 H), 7.45 (m, 1 H), 7.66 (m, 2 H), 8.19 (m, 2 H); v_{max} (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3055, 2200, 1632, 1264; *m/z* 237, 236, 208, 193, 165, 159 (100), 144.

Compounds **4a**,**c**–**g** were prepared following the above procedures using the appropriate starting material.

1,3-Diphenylprop-2-yn-1-one (4a). EtOAc–hexanes 1 : 10, $R_{\rm f} = 0.34$; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 7.41 (m, 2 H), 7.48 (m, 1 H), 7.52 (m, 1 H), 7.62 (m, 1 H), 7.68 (m, 1 H), 8.22 (m, 2 H); $v_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3055, 2200, 1641; *m/z* 206 (95), 178 (100), 129 (94).

4-(3-Oxo-3-phenylprop-1-ynyl)benzonitrile (4c). EtOAchexanes 1 : 7, $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.34; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 7.36–7.82 (m, 7 H), 8.19 (m, 2 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (125 MHz; CDCl₃) 174.9, 134.0, 132.1, 130.8, 130.6, 129.9, 127.2, 126.4, 122.5, 115.4, 111.6, 87.1; m/z235 (15), 234 (99), 233 (100), 105 (14), 191 (12), 128 (29), 103 (82), 91 (23), 77 (33); $v_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3056, 2987, 2305, 2205, 1644; HRMS for C₁₆H₉NO calcd: 231.0684, found:231.0681.

1-Phenyl-3-(4-trimethylsilanylethynylphenyl)prop-2-yn-1-one (**4d**). EtOAc–hexanes 1 : 10, $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.43; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 0.22 (s, 9 H), 7.58 (m, 2 H), 7.62 (m, 2 H), 7.74 (m, 1 H), 7.80 (m, 2 H), 8.18 (m, 2 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (125 MHz; CDCl₃) 178.5, 137.5, 134.9, 133.5, 132.8, 130.3, 129.5, 126.4, 120.6, 104.7, 98.9, 92.9, 88.9, 0.5; $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3055, 2987, 2199, 1641, 1423, 1265, 744; HRMS for C₂₀H₁₈OSi calcd: 302.1127, found: 302.1123.

4,4-Dimethyl-1-phenylpent-2-yn-1-one (4e). EtOAc–hexanes 1 : 10, $R_f = 0.41$; δ_H (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.34 (s, 9 H), 7.61 (m, 2 H), 7.73 (m, 1 H), 8.05 (m, 2 H); *m/z* 186 (10), 143 (34), 128 (23), 105 (100), 77 (19).

4,4-Dimethyl-1-(*p***-tolyl)pent-2-yn-1-one (4f).** EtOAc-hexanes 1 : 10, $R_f = 0.47$; δ_H (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 1.56 (s, 9 H), 2.42 (s, 3

H), 7.27 (m, 2 H), 7.69 (m, 2 H), 8.00 (m, 2 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (125 MHz; CDCl₃) 178.8, 145.5, 135.5, 130.3, 129.9, 104.1, 78.9, 30.9, 28.7, 22.5; $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3055, 2888, 1706, 1450; HRMS for C₁₄H₁₆O calcd: 200.1201, found: 200.1203.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-(*p***-tolyl)prop-2-yn-1-one (4g).** EtOAc-hexanes 1 : 10, $R_{\rm f}$ = 0.16; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz; CDCl₃) 2.45 (s, 3 H), 3.89 (s, 3 H), 6.98 (m, 2 H), 7.41 (m, 2 H), 7.45 (m, 1 H), 7.66 (m, 2 H), 8.19 (m, 2 H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (125 MHz; CDCl₃) 178.5, 162.3, 145.7, 135.5, 130.3, 130.0, 129.7, 115.1, 112.7, 94.5, 87.6, 56.1, 22.5; $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr)/cm⁻¹ 3055, 2963, 2200, 1632, 1264; HRMS for C₁₇H₁₄O₂ calcd: 250.0994, found: 250.0988.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support by KOSEF-Center for Molecular Design and Synthesis (CMDS). H-C. Ryu and Y-T. Hong are BK-21 graduate fellows sponsored by the Ministry of Education.

References

- K. Sonogashira, Y. Tohda and N. Hagihara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, 16, 4467; (b) K. Sonogashira, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon, UK, 1991, vol. 3, pp. 521–549.
- 2 Y. Hatanaka, K. Matsui and T. Hiyama, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 2403.
- 3 R. Rossi, A. Carpita and A. Lezzi, Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 2773.
- 4 S. Huang and J. M. Tour, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 3347.
- 5 Y. Nishihara, K. Ikegashira, A. Mori and T. Hiyama, *Chem. Lett.*, 1997, 1233.
- 6 Y. Koseki, K. Omino, S. Anzai and T. Nagasaka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 2377.
- 7 H. Ito, K. Arimoto, H.-O. Seneui and A. Hosomi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 3977.
- 8 Triarylantimony(v) diacetates **2a** and **2b** were prepared by the method adapted for the preparation of their bismuth analogues; see S. Combes and J.-P. Finet, *Tetrahedron*, 1998, **54**, 4313. Thus the reaction of triarylantimony(III) with PhI(OAc)₂ by stirring in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature for 7 h afforded triarylantimony(v) diacetates **2a** and **2b**.
- 9 The phenylation of an alkynylsilane with triphenylbismuth difluoride has been reported; S. A. Lermontov, I. M. Rakov, N. S. Zefirov and P. J. Stang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 4051.
- 10 J. Havranek, J. M. Mleziva and A. Lycka, J. Organomet. Chem., 1978, 157, 163.
- 11 S. N. Bahahacharya and M. Singh, *Indian J. Chem., Sect. A*, 1979, 18, 515 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1980, 92, 215506e).
- 12 (a) F. Babudri, A. R. Cicciomessere, G. M. Farinola, V. Fiandanese, G. Marchese, R. Musio, F. Naso and O. Sciacovelli, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 3291; (b) S.-K. Kang, T.-H. Kim and S.-J. Pyun, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1997, 797; (c) Y. Nishihara, K. Ikegashira, K. Hirabayashi, J.-i. Ando, A. Mori and T. Hiyama, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 1780.
- 13 (a) S.-K. Kang, H.-W. Lee, S.-B. Jang, T.-H. Kim and J.-S. Kim, Synth. Commun., 1996, 26, 4311; (b) L. Eberson and H. Schafer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 6111; (c) M. Petit, A. Mortreux and F. Petit, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 1385; (d) S. Nakatsuji, W. Fabian, K. Matsuda, Y. Uesugi, K. Nakashima and S. Akiyama, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1992, 7, 755; (e) O. Lavastre, S. Cabioch, P. H. Dixneuf and J. Vohlidal, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 7595; (f) M. S. Shvartsberg and L. G. Fedenok, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSS Ser. Khim., 1990, 9, 2094; (g) S.-K. Kang, T. Yamaguchi, R.-K. Hong, T.-H. Kim and S.-J. Pyun, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 3027; (h) N. N. Kolos, V. D. Orlov and E. K. Slobodina, Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin., 1992, 2, 267.
- 14 As an indirect evidence for the formation of CuX (X = I or OAc) in the catalytic cycle, when we have used CuOAc (10 mol%) instead of CuI (10 mol%) as a catalyst for the coupling reaction of triphenylantimony diacetate (2a) with (1-phenylethynyl)trimethylsilane (1a) under the same conditions we could get the coupled product diphenylacetylene (3a) in 71% yield, which supports our suggested mechanism.
- 15 J. Bordner, G. O. Doak and T. S. Everett, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 4206.